**CHROMBIO- 770** 

# **DETERMINATION OF THE ANTI-TUMOR AGENT, lo-CHLORO-5-(2-**  DIMETHYLAMINOETHYL)-7H-INDOLO[2,3-C] QUINOLIN-6(5H)-ONE **BLOOD OR PLASMA BY HIGH-PERFORMANCE LIQUID CHROMATO-GRAPHY**

#### **NORMAN STROJNY\*, L. D'ARCONTE\* and J. ARTHUR F. de SILVA**

*Department of Pharmacokinetics and Biopharmaceuiics. Hoffmann-La Roche, Nutley, NJ*  **07110** *(U-S-A.)* 

**(Received October 14th, 1980)** 

#### **SUMMARY**

**A sensitive and specific high-performance liquid chromatographic assay was developed**  for the determination of 10-chloro-5-(2-dimethylaminoethyl)-7H-indolo[2,3-C]quinolin- $6(5H)$ -one  $\Pi$  in blood or plasma with an overall recovery of  $100.3 \pm 9.1\%$  and a limit of quantitation of 1.0 ng per ml of blood or plasma. The assay was used to determine blood **concentrations of the drug in the rat following oral administration by intubation of a 1.17**   $mg$  dose of  $\Pi \cdot HCl$ .

#### **INTRODUCTION**

**The compound lOchloro-5-(2dirnethylaminoethyl)-7H-indolo[2,3-C] -quinolin-6( 5H)-one, H'j (Fig. l), is a member of a series of indoloquinolinones synthesized by Walser and co-workers [1,2] and is of interest as an anti-tumor agent t31-** 

**A sensitive and specific high-performance liquid chromatographic (HPLC)**  assay was developed for the determination of  $\Pi$  in blood or plasma. The assay **was used for the determination of blood concentrations of the drug in the rat**  following oral administration by intubation of a 1.17-mg dose of  $\text{I}$   $\text{I}$   $\cdot$  HCl.

The method quantitates compound [I] by fluorescence following normalphase HPLC separation. The analog, 10-methoxy-5-(dimethylaminoethyl)-7H**indolo[2,3\_c1 quinolin6(5H)-one,** M , **was used as the internal standard in the assay because of its favorable retention time on HPLC analysis, and comparable luminescence properties\_** 

\*Author deceased.

**0378-4347/81/0000-0000/\$02\_50 8 1981 Rkevier Scientific Publishing Company** 





Fig. 1. Chemical structures, retention time and capacity factors (k') of some 10- and 5**substituted 7H-indolo[2,3-C] quinolin\_6(5H)-ones\_** 

### **E2LPERIMENTAL**

#### Column

**The column used was a 0.25 m X 4-6 mm I.D. stainless-steel column contain**ing Partisil PXS 10/25 silica gel, 10  $\mu$ m (Serial No. 1B1709, Whatman, Clifton, **NJ, U.S.A.).** 

#### **Instrumental** *parameters*

A Waters Model 6000A high-pressure liquid chromatography pump, equipped with a Model U6K injection system and a pre-column filter  $(2-\mu m)$ **(Waters Assoc., Milford, MA, U.S.A.), was used for chromatography\_ A Schoeffel Model FS-970 fluorescence detector operated at 258 nm for excitation and emission at wavelengths greater than 340 mn (Kratos, SchoeffeI Instruments, Westwood, NJ, U&A\_) was used for fIuorimetric detection.** 

**The isocratic mobile phase consisted of hexane-tetrahydrofuran-methano&-concentrated ammonium hydroxide (75** : **15 : 9.75 : 0.25) pumped at a constant flow-rate of 2-O mI/min\_ Under these conditions, the retention time of** 



Fig. 2. Chromatograms of (A) authentic standard of 25 ng [I] and 10 ng [II] injected and **(B) diethyl ether extract of 0.1 ml rat blood,30 min post oral dose, containing added authentic[IIl\_** 

compound [I] was 4.4 min while that of compound  $\Pi$  was 5.9 min (Fig. 2), **with capacity factors (k') of 2-4 and 3-5,** *respectively\_ The chart* **speed of the Hewlett-Packard dual-channel recorder (Model 7132A with option 108) was**  0.5 in./min.

## Spectrophotometric/fluorimetric instrumentation

Ultraviolet absorbance spectra were recorded using a double-beam ratiorecording spectrophotometer (Coleman Model EPS-3T Hitachi Spectrometer, Coleman Instruments, Maywood, IL, U.S.A.). Corrected luminescence excitation and emission spectra (10 nm bandpass) were recorded using a spectrofluorimeter equipped for direct recording of corrected excitation and corrected **emission spectra (Farrand Mark I, serial No. 947, Farrand Optical Co., Valhalla, NY, U.S.A.). Quantitative analytical fluorimetric data were generated in the uncorrected mode. Cryogenic (77°K) luminescence data were generated using commercially available accessories and equipment, made "in house", which has been previously described [4].** *(An analogous cryogenic* **unit is now available from Farrand Optical Co.)** 

# *Reagents*

**All inorganic reagents were analytical-reagent grade (A.C.S.). All aqueous solutions were prepared in distilled, carbon-filtered, deionized water filtered**  through a 0.2-um filter (Type DC System, Hydro-Service and Supplies, Dur**ham, NC, U.S.A.).** 

**Organic solvents, suitable for spectrophotometry and liquid chromatography were purchased from Burdick and Jackson Labs. (Muskegon, MI, U.S.A.), with**  the exception of ethanol (200 proof, absolute) purchased from Pharmco **(Publicker Industries, Linfield, PA, U.S.A.) and diethyl ether (anhydrous, absolute, reagent grade) purchased from Mallinckrodt (St. Louis, MO, U.S.A.). Diethyf ether used for extraction of biological samples was used from a freshly opened can before each analysis and was shaken with granular zinc (about 1 g per 450 ml of ether) to reduce the peroxides, which might otherwise decom**pose low concentrations of  $\Pi$ .

#### *Analytical standard soluti.ons*

Stock solutions of compound  $\left[\prod_{i=1}^{n} C_{i} H_{18} C \ln M_{18} O_{i}\right]$  mol. wt. 339.83 (base), m.p. 292-298°C) and compound [II] (C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>21</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, mol. wt. 335.41 (base), **m-p. 285~287"C, used as the internal standard) were prepared containing 100 pg/ml of hexane+etrahydrofuran~ethanol (75:15:10). The stock solutions**  of III and IIII were used to prepare mixed standard solutions (Table I) by suitable dilutions in the mobile phase hexane-tetrahydrofuran-methanol-concen- $\text{tracted ammonium hydroxide}$  (75:15:9.75:0.25). Aliquots (250  $\mu$ l) of these **mixed standard solutions were added to control (drug-free) blood or plasma as the processed standards to establish a calibration curve for the determination of the concentrations in the unknowns and for the determination of percent recovery.** 

**TABLE I** 

#### **STANDARD SOLUTIONS TO BE USED FOR HPLC ANALYSIS .**



# Analysis of blood or plasma

**A** *2504* **ahquot of standard solution No. 5 (Table I) was transferred into a**  15-ml glass-stoppered centrifuge tube as the internal standard **III** for each un**known\_ The organic solvent was evaporated at 40°C in a N-EVAP evaporator (Organomation Assoc., Worcester, MA, U.S.A.) under a stream of clean dry**  nitrogen. Then a suitable aliquot (0.1-1.0 ml) of the blood or plasma sample **was added and mixed web.** 

A separate set of standards was prepared by transferring 250-µl aliquots of **mixed standard solutions 1, 2, 3, or 4 (Table I) into separate 15-ml centrifuge tubes, evaporating the organic solvent, and addling a suitable aliquot of control blood pIasma and mixing well.** 

**The samples were mixed vigorously and 1 ml of 1** *M ammonium* **hydroxide and 10 ml of fresh diethyl ether were added. Each tube was stoppered (PTFE No. 13 stopper), and shaken for 10 min on a reciprocating shaker (Eberbach, Ann Arbor, MI, U.S.A.) at SO-100 strokes/min\_ The samples were centrifuged at 2500 rpm (1500 g) in a refrigerated centrifuge (Model PR-J, Rotor No. 253;**  Damon/IEC, Needham, MA, U.S.A.) at 5<sup>°</sup>C and a 9.0-ml aliquot of the super**natant was transferred into another 15-ml conical centrifuge tube.** 

**The ether extract was evaporated to dryness at 40°C in the N-EVAP evapo**rator under a stream of clean, dry nitrogen. The residue was dissolved in  $250 \mu l$ of the mobile phase,  $25 \mu l$  of which were injected for HPLC analysis using **normal-phase (adsorption) chromatography\_ The Schoeffel fhiorescence**  detector was set between 1.0 and  $0.02 \mu A$  depending on the sensitivity desired. **Typical cbromatograms are shown in Fig\_ 2.** 

The concentration of  $\Pi$  in each unknown was determined by interpolation **from the calibration curve of the standards processed along with the unknowns,**  using the peak height ratio (ratio of peak height of compound  $\text{[1]}$  to the peak **height of the internal standard jIIj versus concentration) technique.** 

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Compound  $\prod$  and its analogs (Fig. 1) are conjugated heteroatomic mole**cules which possess strong UV absorption and intense luminescence emission**  characteristics. The spectral characteristics of [I] were determined in selected **solvents, including the mobile phase used for HPLC analysis (Table II). The absorption, corrected excitation and luminescence emission spectra of [fl in the mobile phase used for HPLC analysis are shown in Fig\_ 3. The major** *W*  absorption band of  $\Pi$  occurs at 250-260 nm for all the solvents listed, the **absorptivity (25S-260 run) ranges from 109 to 116 l/g - cm. The corrected fhrorescence emission spectra determined at ambient temperature (25°C) show**  a hypsochromic "blue" shift from a broad, structureless emission  $(\lambda_{\text{max}} = 465$ nm) with a high quantum efficiency at acidic pH;  $\Phi_F = 0.43$  in methanol-2 N HCl (1:1), to a sharper more structured emission spectrum ( $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  = 365, 380 nm) with lower quantum efficiency,  $\Phi_F = 0.075$  in the mobile phase, at basic **pH\_ This suggests that at mildly acidic pH the compound exists as an ionized species in the excited state, but not in the ground state, since the** *W* **absorp**tion spectra do not exhibit wavelength shifts with pH. Optimal HPLC separation of [I] and [II] from endogenous interferences could only be achieved how-



тавье и

116



a.

J.



**Fig. 3.** (A) UV absorption spectrum  $(7.5 \mu g/ml)$ ; (B) corrected fluorescence excitation spectrum (0.25  $\mu$ g/ml,  $\lambda_{\text{cm}}$  = 380 nm, 10 x attenuation); (C) corrected fluorescence emission spectrum (0.25  $\mu$ g/ml,  $\lambda_{ex}$  = 258 nm, 10 x attenuation); and (D) uncorrected phospho**rescence emission spectrum (0.25**  $\mu$ **g/ml,**  $\lambda_{ex}$  **= 258 nm, 3**  $\times$  **attenuation) of compound [I] in the** *mobile phase* **used for normal-phase HPLC analysis.** 

**ever at basic pH, at the expense of higher sensitivity. Although the UV absor**bance of  $\text{II}$  at 260 nm is sufficiently intense for quantitation in biological **fluids using a tuheable** *W* **detector, fluorescence detection was preferred due to higher sensitivity, specificity, and better overall background signal from the sample extract.** 

**The cryogenic ('77°K) Iuminescence characteristics demonstrate similar emission maxima regardless of pH and contain hoth fluorescence**  (singlet-singlet emission) in the 350-450 nm region and phosphorescence (triplet-singlet emission) in the 450-550 nm region. Total luminescence quantum efficiency,  $\Phi_L$ , ranged from 0.13 at acidic pH to about 1.0 at basic pH. The phosphorescence quantum efficiency,  $\Phi_P$  increased five-fold from **acidic pH to basic pH, based on estimations from uncorrected spectra obtained in the phosphorescence mode. Phosphorescence lifetimes ranged from 1.40 to l-25 -ec over the pH range studied. The mobile phase forms a "snowy" matrix**  at  $77^{\circ}$ K, impairing the accuracy of the determination of  $\Phi_L$  and  $\Phi_P$  due to **scatter effects.** 

**The luminescence characteristics of the compound were used initially, to**  develop a thin-layer chromatographic (TLC)-spectrofluorimetric assay based **on the intense fluorescence emission of jJj in acidic alcohol solutions. The**  analysis of  $\Pi$  in blood or urine involved buffering the sample with 1  $M$ 

K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> (pH 8.8-9.1), double-extraction into diethyl ether, concentration of the combined ether extract, transfer of the ether concentrate containing  $\Pi$ onto a  $20 \times 20$  cm, E. Merck (Darmstadt, G.F.R.) pre-coated  $60\text{-}\mu$ m silica gel **F-254 TLC plate and ascending chromatography in benzene-methanol (1:l) as**  the developing solvent (Fig. 4). Compound  $\prod$  ( $R_F = 0.50$ ) was then eluted from the silica gel with 5 ml of methanol, the residue of which was dissolved in **5 ml of methanol-2 N HCl (l:l) and quantitated in a l-cm quartz cuvette by spectrofluorimetry at 460 mn, with excitation at 350 mu. The overall recovery**  of  $\llbracket \mathbf{l} \rrbracket$  by this procedure was  $65 \pm 7\%$ , with a sensitivity limit of 70 ng/ml of **sample,** 



Fig. 4. TLC of ether extracts of dog blood after intravenous administration of 10 mg [I]/kg. **Solvent system benzene—methanol (50:50).**  $*$  **= Fluorescent material.** 

The TLC-spectrofluorimetric assay was used in the early phases of preclinical development of the drug. The assay was tedious, time-consuming and **was replaced by the HPLC assay described; The HPLC assay is a simpler more**  straightforward three-step operation which involves selective extraction, sample concentration, and direct analysis by HPLC with quantitation by spectrofluori**metzic detection\_ It provides better sensitivity, precision and higher sample throughput than the TLC procedure, hence it is the method of choice.** 

# *Recovem, precision and semitivity limits of the HPLC* assay

The mean recovery of compound [I] from human plasma over the concen**tration range 1.0-250 ng/ml is**  $100.3 \pm 9.1\%$  **(S.D.) (Table III). The inter-assay precision over this concentration range showed a mean reIative standard devia**tion of about  $\pm$  7.4%. The sensitivity limit of quantitation is 1.0 ng of  $\left[\prod\right]$  per **ml of blood or plasma using a l-ml sample per assay.** 

### **TABLE III**

RECOVERY AND PRECISION OF ASSAY FOR COMPOUND **III IN HUMAN PLASMA** 

Compound [I] added (ng/ml)	<b>Replicates</b> (n)	Average amount of [I] recovered* (ng/ml)	Mean recovery** (%)	Relative standard deviation** (± %)	
$1.0***$	3	1.047	104.7	8.6	
5.0	4	4.88	97.6	7.9	
25	5	23.08	92.3	6.0	
<b>100</b>	5	98.9	98.9	6.4	
250	5	272.5	109.0	8.0	

**\*Corrected for S/10 ether aIiquot in extraction procedure; calculated from a least squares**  regression analysis of HPLC response to external standards of  $\Pi$ , based on a logarithmic **curve:**  $Y = aX^{\overline{b}} = 0.0688 X^{0.976\overline{1}}$  with a correlation coefficient of 0.9983. Average percent deviation of the curve fit is  $\pm$  8.5%.

**\*\*Overall average recovery = 100.3% with an overall relative standard deviation of \* 9.1%**   $(n = 22)$ .

\*\*\* Limit of quantitation.

# **Analysis of biological specimens**

The initial TLC-spectrofluorimetric assay was used to determine compound **jIJ in a piIot study in a dog following the intravenous administration of a 10**  mg/kg dose (total dose = 80 mg [I] administered as the free base). Blood concentrations of  $\Pi$  were measured over 48 h (Table IV), with an apparent half**life of elimination [&+s] of about 5.4 h. The blood samples were also analyzed**  for compound [VI] as a potential metabolite (Figs. 1 and 4), however, none was found (sensitivity limit  $\approx 0.07 \mu g/ml$ ). The assay was specific by virtue of **the TLC separation step\_** 

The HPLC assay was subsequently used to determine compound [I] in a pilot study in one rat (400–450 g) following the oral administration by intubation of 1.5 ml of an ethanol-water (50:50) solution containing 1.17 mg of  $\prod$  **• HCl. Blood concentrations of**  $\prod$  **were observed over 1.5 h. sampled via caudal venipuncture (Table V). None of the analogs III, IV, V, or VI considered**  to be potential metabolites (all of which were chromatographically resolved) **(Fig. 1) were detected in these samples.** 

The sensitivity and specificity of the HPLC assay should be sufficient for **future cIinicaI pharmacokinetic studies.** 

#### **TABLE IV**



#### **BLOOD CONCENTRA TIONS OF COMPOUND [I] IN THE DOG FOLLOWING A SINGLE INTRAVENOUS ADMINISTRATION OF A 10 mg [II/kg DOSE (TOTAL = 80 mg)**

**\* Determined by TLC-fluorimetric procedure-**   $\star \star$  – = below the limit of quantitation (0.07  $\mu$ g/ml of blood).

#### **TABLE V**

#### **BLOOD CONCENTRATION OF COMPOUND III IN THE RAT FOLLOWING A SINGLE ORAL ADMINISTRATION OF 1.17 mg**  $\Pi$  **- HCl BY INTUBATION -**



\* Determined by HPLC analysis with fluorimetric detection.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

**The authors are indebted to S. Cotler for the biological specimens and Ms. V. Waddell for the preparation of the manuscript\_** 

#### **REFERENCES**

- **1 R-1. Fryer, R.Y. Ning, L.H. Stembach and A. Waker, Can\_ Pat., 1,012,147, April 14, 1975\_**
- **2 A\_ WaIser, G. Silverman, T\_ Flynn and R-1. Fryer, J\_ Heterocycl- Chem., 12 (1975) 351.**
- **3 E. Gnmberg, M-J. Kramer, M. Buck and P.W. Trown, Chemotherapy, 24 (1978) 77.**
- **4 JA.F\_ de Silva, N. Strojny and K\_ Stika, Anal\_ Chem., 48 (1976) 144.**